



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/584,359

06/23/2006

Masaki Inoue

4265-0071WOUS

3372

35301

7590

07/25/2008

MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP
CITY PLACE II
185 ASYLUM STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06103

EXAMINER

JOHNSON, MATTHEW A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3682

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/584,359 | Applicant(s) INOUE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner MATTHEW JOHNSON | Art Unit 3682 | |

All Participants:

(1) Matthew Johnson.

(2) David Fenstermacher.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2008

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Marina Cunningham.

(4) ____.

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) rejection of claims 1 and 5

Claims discussed:

1, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Newport (USP-5,809,833)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed proposed claim amendments regarding the addition of the guide pin and guide slot arrangement of the position detection apparatus to overcome the prior art. Applicant is to respond.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David M. Fenstermacher/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)